Table 10-2 Projected Phosphorus Loading from Septic System Focus Areas

FA	Area	Basin	Projected Flow (mgd)	P Load from New WWTP† (lbs/day)	P Load of Runoff from Developed Portions of Service Area (Ibs/day)	P Load of Runoff from Undeveloped Portions of Service Area (Ibs/day)	
FA/SS/S1	North Brewster Road	Diverting	0.2744	1.14	0.93	0.03	
FA/SS/S2	Lake Tonetta	Diverting	0.0592	0.25	0.10	0.01	
FA/SS/S3	Peach Lake	East Branch	0.0411	0.34	0.09	0.00	
		Total P Daily Load (lbs)	2.89	1.73	1.12	0.04	
	Total P Annual Load 1054.85 631.45 408.80 14. (lbs)						
Note: †-	Assumes new WWTPs i	n Septic Focus Areas within	basins that a	re not 60-day restric	ted are surface discharging.		

Table 10-3 Current and Projected Phosphorus Loads WWTPs and Focus Areas

	Sanita	ry Phosphorus Load (I	bs/yr)	Surface Runoff Phosphorus Load (lbs/yr)			
-	Current	Project	ed	Current	Projected		
Source		Without Diversion*	With Diversion				
WWTPs & Service Areas	832.20	1120.55	0	492.75	547.50		
Focus Areas	2069.55	919.80	288.35	1376.05	1741.05		
Totals	2901.75	2040.35	288.35	1868.80	2288.55 **		
Total Sanitary + Surface Runoff							
Total Current	4770.55						
Total Projected without Diversion	4328.90***						
Total Projected with Diversion	2576.90****						

Notes: All current and projected (year 2030) phosphorus loading estimates are as calculated in the Diversion Report.

* - Assumes surface discharging WWTPs are built for Septic Focus Areas and subsurface discharging WWTPs are built for Commercial and High Density Residential Focus Areas, and existing WWTPs are upgraded according to the Watershed Regulations.

** - Total increase in phosphorus runoff load as a result of projected development is approximately 419.75 lbs/yr (2288.55 minus 1868.80).

*** - Upgrading existing WWTPs and constructing new WWTPs for the Focus Areas would decrease the phosphorus load by approximately 441.65 lbs/yr (4770.55 minus 4328.90) from current levels. This reduction takes into account the increase in non-point source loading due to projected development in Southeast.

**** - A flow diversion system would decrease the phosphorus load to the Croton Watershed by approximately 2193.65 lbs/yr (4770.55 minus 2576.90) from current levels. This reduction takes into account the increase in non-point source loading due to projected development in Southeast. The phosphorus load reduction presented in the Diversion Report assumed the diversion of all focus area flows. The reduction shown in this report assumes that only the flows from the WWTP service areas and failing septic areas would be diverted.

Table 10-4 Necessary Non-Point Phosphorus Reductions Assuming WWTP Upgrades

	Reservoir	Phase II Basin Area (acres)	Phase II TMDL (Ibs/yr)	Water Quality Limited for Phase II TMDL?	Non-Point Reductions Necessary to Meet Phase II TMDL (Ibs/yr)	Affected Area [†] (acres)	Surface Runoff Load from Affected Area (Ibs/yr) §	Pct. Runoff Load Reduction Necessary [‡]
20 µg/l	Phosphorus Guidance Val	ue						
Middle I	Branch	13,640	2,093	Yes	450	2,007	1,225	37%
Bog Brc	ok	2,350	827	No	None	N/A	N/A	N/A
East Bra	anch	49,025	6,223	Yes	2,190	9,402	4,505	49%
Diverting		4,670	6,170	Yes	2,168*	1,510	1,125	100%**
Muscoo	t	47,864	20,720	Yes	4,690	N/A***	N/A***	N/A***
15 µg/l	Phosphorus Guidance Val	ue						
Croton Falls		10,823	7,861	Yes	1,299	1,839	1,194	100%**
Notes:	 †- Of the four land use type reductions would only be im agricultural areas in each re ‡- This column shows the p must be removed from the re the required non-point redu this table). §-Surface runoff loads calcut *- The total phosphorus run **- Controlling surface runoff needed. 	s used to calcula iplemented in url servoir basin ac ercentage of the reservoir basin ir ctions (column 5 ulated using Pha off load to the Di ff from urban and	ate TMDLs (urb ban and agricul coording to the <i>F</i> e existing non-por n order for the re of this table) by use II phosphoru iverting Reserved d agricultural are	an, agricultural, fo tural areas (the "A Phase I TMDL Rej pint (surface runoi eservoir to meet it y the non-point ph us export coefficie pir Basin is less th eas would not red	Interst, and water), it Affected Area"). The bort. Iff) phosphorus load Is Phase II TMDL. Iosphorus load from Ints. Ints. Ints value. Iuce phosphorus e	t is assumed t is column sho d from urban These values m urban and a nough to mee	that non-point phows the total urbat and agricultural as were calculated agricultural areas	iosphorus an and areas that 1 by dividing s (column 7 of ner controls are

Table 10-5 Necessary Non-Point Phosphorus Reductions Assuming Flow Diversion

Reservoir	Phase II Basin Area (acres)	Phase II TMDL (Ibs/yr)	Water Quality Limited for Phase II TMDL?	Non-Point Reductions Necessary to Meet Phase II TMDL (Ibs/yr)	Point Source * Load Removed by Diversion (lbs/yr)	Net Non-Point Reductions Necessary (lbs/yr)	Affected Area [†] (acres)	Surface Runoff Load from Affected Area (lbs/yr) §	Pct. Runoff Load Reduction Necessary ‡
20 µg/l Phosph	20 μg/l Phosphorus Guidance Value								
Middle Branch	13,640	2,093	Yes	450	336	114	2,007	1,225	9%
Bog Brook	2,350	827	No	None	77	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
East Branch	49,025	6,223	Yes	2,190	880	1,310	9,402	4,505	29%
Diverting	4,670	6,170	Yes	2,168**	818	1,350**	1,510	1,125	100%***
Muscoot	47,864	20,720	Yes	4,690	376	4,314	N/A****	N/A****	N/A****
15 µg/l Phosph	orus Guidano	ce Value							
Croton Falls	10,823	7,861	Yes	1,299	1,285	14	1,839	1,194	1%
 Notes: †- Of the four land use types used to calculate TMDLs (urban, agricultural, forest, and water), it is assumed that non-point phosphorus reductions would only be implemented in urban and agricultural areas (the "Affected Area"). This column shows the total urban and agricultural areas in each reservoir basin according to the <i>Phase I TMDL Report</i>. ‡- This column shows the percentage of the existing non-point (surface runoff) phosphorus load from urban and agricultural areas that must be removed from the reservoir basin in order for the reservoir to meet its Phase II TMDL. These values were calculated by dividing the required non-point reductions (column 7 of this table) by the non-point phosphorus load from urban and agricultural areas (column 9 of 									

this table).

§- Surface runoff loads calculated using Phase II phosphorus export coefficients.

*- Load removed assuming only the diversion of WWTPs.

**-The total phosphorus runoff load to the Diverting Reservoir Basin is less than this value.

***- Controlling surface runoff from urban and agricultural areas would not reduce phosphorus enough to meet the TMDL. Other controls are needed.

****- Data not available.

	Table 10-8
Wastewater Treatment Expansion	Options for WWTPs

ckberry Hill Sanitary S.D. wster Heights S.D. No. 1 wster High School ry H. Wells Middle School	0.0747	Diverting	Phosphorus	
wster Heights S.D. No. 1 wster High School Iry H. Wells Middle School	0.1500	Diverting		
wster High School Iry H. Wells Middle School	0.0150	Diverting	Phosphorus	May be allowed if a 2:1 phosphorus offset is
ry H. Wells Middle School	0.0150	East Branch	Phosphorus	flow diversion credit.
	0.0210	East Branch	Phosphorus	
y Stream Condominiums	0.0190	Muscoot	60-d and P*	May be allowed as part of the 10% flow diversion credit.
itel No. 1228 Welfare Road	0.0021	East Branch	Phosphorus	May be allowed if a 2:1 phosphorus offset is
iters Glen	0.0685	Middle Branch	Phosphorus	flow diversion credit.
4 Rest Area No. 45	0.0120	Muscoot	60-d and P*	May be allowed as part of the 10% flow diversion credit.
n F. Kennedy Elementary School	0.0110	East Branch	Phosphorus	May be allowed if a 2:1 phosphorus offset is
unt Ebo Corporate Center	0.1600	East Branch	Phosphorus	achieved by the expansion or as part of the 10% flow diversion credit.
d Farms Condominiums	0.0500	Muscoot	60-d and P*	May be allowed as part of the 10% flow diversion credit.
vne Centre	0.0200	Bog Brook	None**	May be allowed at this time.
cy Tertiary (Clock Tower)	0.0200	East Branch	Phosphorus	May be allowed if a 2:1 phosphorus offset is achieved by the expansion or as part of the 10% flow diversion credit.
	at Ebo Corporate Center d Farms Condominiums ne Centre y Tertiary (Clock Tower)	Int Ebo Corporate Center 0.1600 If Farms Condominiums 0.0500 Inte Centre 0.0200 Interview (Clock Tower) 0.0200	Image: State of the content of the	Int Ebo Corporate Center0.1600East BranchPhosphorusId Farms Condominiums0.0500Muscoot60-d and P*Ine Centre0.0200Bog BrookNone**y Tertiary (Clock Tower)0.0200East BranchPhosphorus

*- Based on Phase II TMDLs using a 20µg/l (Torng for source water) reservoir phosphorus concentration guidance value.
 *- 60-day and phosphorus restricted
 **- Based on the Phase II TMDLs, using the 20µg/l phosphorus guidance value for the Bog Brook Reservoir, the Towne Centre WWTP is in a basin that *would not be* water quality limited for phosphorus.

Table 10-9 Wastewater Treatment Expansion Options for Focus Areas

Focus Area	Projected Flow [†] (mgd)	Basin	Basin Restriction [‡]	Options for Constructing a New Surface Discharging WWTP			
Septic Focus	Areas						
FA/SS/S1	0.2744	East Branch	Phosphorus				
FA/SS/S2	0.0592	Diverting	Phosphorus	May be allowed, but plant capacity must be sized only for the problem area.			
FA/SS/S3	0.1652	East Branch	Phosphorus				
High Density	Residential Fo	ocus Areas					
FA/HDR/S2	0.0061	Bog Brook	None**	May be allowed at this time.			
FA/HDR/S3	0.1499	East Branch	Phosphorus				
FA/HDR/S4	0.0173	Middle Branch	Phosphorus	May be allowed if a 3:1 phosphorus offset is achieved by the expansion*** or as			
FA/HDR/S5	0.0095	Middle Branch	Phosphorus	part of the 10% flow diversion credit.			
FA/HDR/S7	0.0248	Diverting	Phosphorus				
FA/HDR/S8	0.0085	Muscoot	60-d and P*	May be allowed as part of the 10% flow diversion credit.			
Commercial	Focus Areas						
FA/C/S1	0.2025	Bog Brook, East Branch	None**, Phosphorus	May be allowed at this time for section in Bog Brook basin. 3:1 phosphorus offset needed for section in East Branch basin.			
FA/C/S2	0.0500	Bog Brook	None***	May be allowed at this time.			
FA/C/S3	0.1855	East Branch	Phosphorus				
FA/C/S4	0.5440	Middle Branch	Phosphorus	May be allowed if a 3:1 phosphorus offset is achieved by the expansion*** or as			
FA/C/S5	0.0290	Middle Branch	Phosphorus	part of the 10% flow diversion credit.			
FA/C/S7	0.0045	Middle Branch	Phosphorus				
FA/C/S8	0.2520	Muscoot	60-d and P				
FA/C/S9	0.0020	Muscoot	60-d and P	May be allowed as part of the 10% flow diversion credit.			
FA/C/S10	0.0010	Muscoot	60-d and P				
Notes: †- Ba ‡- Ba *- 60	ased on the Putr ased on Phase I -day and phosp	nam County Divers I TMDLs using a 20 horus restricted	ion Report plann)μg/l (15μg for so	ing year of 2030. purce water) reservoir phosphorus concentration guidance value.			

**- Based on the Phase II TMDLs, using the 20µg/l phosphorus guidance value for the Bog Brook Reservoir, this Focus Area is in a basin that *would not be* water quality limited for phosphorus.

***- Under the phosphorus pilot program no more than three new WWTPs are to be constructed in Putnam County. The total maximum capacity for the three plants is 150,000 gpd.

Chapter ??: Enter Name Here